

Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research 48(1): 56-64 (2022)

# Evaluation, genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes

## Hem Lata\* and Akhilesh Sharma

Department of Vegetable Science & Floriculture, College of Agriculture CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur-176062, India. \*Corresponding author: thakurhemlata35@gmail.com Manuscript Received: 22.02.2022; Accepted: 11.04.2022

## Abstract

The experimental materials comprising of fourteen chilli genotypes including 'Surajmukhi' as standard check were evaluated to examine the nature of variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and association of various traits with marketable yield. Analysis of variance showed significant differences among the genotypes in terms of both quantitative and qualitative traits. The yield potential of two genotypes, Him Palam Mirch-2 and DPCh-101 was significantly higher than that of check 'Surajmukhi'. High PCV and GCV was recorded for average green fruit weight, marketable green fruits per plant, non-marketable green fruits per plant and total green fruits per plant. High heritability along with high genetic advance was observed for primary branches per plant, internodal length, secondary branches per plant, fruit length, average green fruit weight, marketable green fruits per plant, non-marketable green fruits per plant, total green fruits per plant and marketable fruit yield per plant. Correlation studies showed that marketable green fruits per plant, total green fruits per plant, per cent marketable green fruits per plant and fruit girth had positive significant association, with marketable yield per plant indicating the importance of these traits in selection for yield. Path analysis revealed that total green fruits per plant, average green fruit weight, percent marketable green fruits per plant, fruit length, primary branches per plant and days to first harvest had the maximum positive direct effect on marketable green fruit yield per plant indicating that these traits are the main contributors to fruit yield. Based on these results, it can be concluded that due consideration should be focused on primary and secondary branches, fruit length, average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant and harvest duration for genetic improvement of chilli.

Key words: Chilli, correlation, heritability, genetic advance, path analysis

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.), a member of the Solanaceae family (2n = 24), is a popular commercial vegetable and condiment that is farmed around the world. Chillies were domesticated in Central America, most likely in Mexico, with secondary centers in Guatemala and Bulgaria (Salvador, 2002). Chilli can be used in a variety of forms, including fresh or cooked vegetables, herbs or spices, and a variety of processed foods (Hazra *et al.*, 2011). Green chillies are rich source of Vitamin A, C and E. India is the world's largest producer, consumer, and exporter of chilli, with a total area of 309 thousand hectares and a production of 3592 thousand metric tonnes per hectare (NHB, 2020). Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, and Rajasthan are the major chilli growing states in India.

In any crop development programme, the collection of diverse germplasm and their systematic evaluation are critical. Chilli has a wide range of plant and fruit characteristics that indicates its potential for generating high-yielding cultivars with acceptable fruit characteristics. The initial and cheapest input to boost the crop production is to make available high yielding and well adapted varieties by initiating a strong breeding programme. The level of success in improving germplasm through selection is determined by genetic variability, which provides the possibility to improve production and quality through a systematic breeding programme (Sharma *et al.* 2020).

Crop improvement with heritable characters, estimation of genetic parameters and their association is of prime importance in breeding programme (Bozokalfa *et al.* 2010; Sood *et al.* (2011); Negi and Sharma 2019).

Yield is a complex quantitative trait which is driven by a large number of genes and is influenced by a variety of environmental factors. Selection of superior genotypes based on yield alone may be ineffective and therefore, to make selection effective, genetic variability must be separated from total variability, allowing the breeder to choose an appropriate breeding programme. Yield is linked to the number of component characters and variability studies alone will not be of much help in improving yield. To initiate a sound selection programme for improving yield, indirect selection of component traits is required based on knowledge of genetic correlations among the characters contributing to yield. Knowledge of correlation alone is often misleading as the correlation observed may not be always true. Simple correlation analysis that relates yield to a single variable may not provide a complete understanding of the importance of each component in determining fruit yield (Okuyama et al. 2004). As a result, analysis of inter component correlation is necessary to expose the direct and indirect contribution of each component which is determined by path-coefficient analysis (Sekhon et al. 2019). Keeping this in view, the present investigation was planned to evaluate 14 diverse genotypes of chilli for genetic variability, heritability and correlation between yield and other attributes.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur for two consecutive years during summer-rainy season 2020 and 2021. The experimental materials comprising of 14 genotypes of chilli including four GMS lines namely; 'DPChMS 9-2', 'DPChMS11-2', 'DPChMS 26-1', 'DPChMS 29-2' and check 'Surajmukhi' were evaluated in randomized complete block design with three replications. Data were recorded on randomly taken five plants of each genotype in each replication followed by computing their means for the various traits viz., days to 50 % flowering, days to first harvest, fruit length (cm), pedicel length (cm), fruit girth (cm), plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, intermodal length of primary branch (cm), secondary branches per plant, average green fruit weight (g), marketable green fruits per plant, non-marketable green fruit per plant, total green fruits per plant, per cent marketable green fruits per plant, harvest duration (days) and marketable green fruit yield per plant (g). The data were subjected to analysis of variance as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The genotypic and phenotypic variations and heritability were calculated as per the method of Burton and De Vane (1953). Genetic advance (GA) was calculated as per Burton and De Vane (1953) and Johnson et al. (1955). Coefficients of correlation were calculated as suggested by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958) while path coefficients of different traits with fruit yield per plant were carried out as per Dewey and Lu (1959).

## **Results and Discussion**

## **Mean performance**

Chilli genotypes showed wide range of variability for most of the growth and fruit characters in respective years and pooled over the years (Table 1). Genotype 'DPCh-10' significantly took minimum number of days to flowering (46.50) whereas genotype 'DPCh-22-C' significantly took minimum days to first fruit harvest (58.00) over the check 'Surajmukhi' (70.00). The highest numbers of primary branches (5.14) and secondary branches (15.17) per plant were found in genetic male sterile line 'DPChMS 9-2'. Fruit length and girth showed distinct variation among the cultivars. 'DPCh- 10' (9.98 cm) had the longest fruit, followed by 'PBC-535' (9.65 cm), 'DPChMS 29-2' (9.47 cm) and 'DPChMS 26-1' (9.39 cm) which were significantly superior over the check 'Surajmukhi' (5.63). Genotype 'Him Palam Mirch-2' exhibited significantly highest fruit girth (3.98 cm) over check 'Surajmukhi' (3.02). The variation in pedicel length was recorded with highest in genotype DPCh-40 (3.78 cm) and lowest in 'DPChMS11-2' (2.65 cm). Three genotypes were significantly superior and ten genotypes were at par with check 'Surajmukhi' (3.00) for pedicel length. Significantly maximum average fruit weight was found in 'DPCh-10' (3.98g) and 'PBC-535' (3.95g) over the check 'Surajmukhi' (2.25)

I able 1. Mean	Deriorilla		sda) IIIII	icum unn	uum L.) g	enorype	es lor v	arioust	raus p	o natoo	ver une y	cars				
Genotype	Days to 50%	Days to	Primary	Inter	Secondary	Plant	Fruit	Pedicel	Fruit	Average	Marketable	-non-	Total	Percent	Harvest	Marketable
	flowering	first	branches	nodal	branches	height	length	length	girth	green	green	marketable	green	marketable	duration	green
		harvest	per	length	per	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	fruit	fruits	green	fruits	green	(Days)	fruit
			plant	of primary branch	plant					weight (g)	per plant	fruits per	per plant	fruit per		yield per plant (g)
				(cm)							ı	plant		plant		
DPCH MS 9-2	52.67	66.00	5.14	5.78	15.71	57.48	8.17	2.89	2.52	2.12	152.17	9.61	161.77	94.05	51.50	323.03
DPCH MS11-2	52.50	65.83	5.00	6.94	13.83	73.87	8.33	2.65	2.57	2.28	110.76	11.26	122.02	90.57	53.00	250.91
DPCH MS 26-1	49.17	59.33	4.35	5.93	11.43	66.98	9.39	3.22	3.34	3.08	111.43	10.77	122.20	91.13	59.00	342.34
DPCH MS 29-2	47.00	59.17	3.46	6.19	9.20	71.39	9.47	3.05	2.86	2.44	144.99	16.03	161.01	90.15	57.83	358.53
DPCH 10	46.50	60.00	2.99	6.19	8.93	64.37	9.98	2.95	3.23	3.98	79.89	15.19	95.08	84.11	59.17	318.01
PBC-535	50.33	63.83	4.67	4.53	13.46	49.38	9.65	3.11	3.75	3.95	72.34	20.77	93.11	78.27	47.33	285.35
Him PalamMirch -1	51.83	64.33	4.14	6.31	12.41	59.48	6.90	3.43	3.09	2.28	143.40	3.53	146.93	97.57	55.33	327.07
DPCH-101	57.50	71.67	4.80	5.81	13.23	61.56	7.19	3.29	3.03	2.36	192.05	3.49	195.53	97.76	47.83	458.70
DVVG	50.83	64.67	4.78	6.73	12.27	77.57	6.57	3.09	2.91	1.97	193.91	3.13	197.04	98.39	57.17	381.25
DPCH-40	48.83	60.67	3.96	6.00	10.97	63.55	9.04	3.78	3.39	3.16	123.03	4.73	127.76	95.96	56.83	390.54
Him PalamMirch -2	50.00	60.67	3.83	6.53	11.21	58.28	8.51	3.48	3.98	3.83	121.85	2.30	124.15	98.21	60.50	467.68
DPCH-6-2	47.50	60.33	3.36	3.46	10.38	50.71	5.20	3.24	2.92	2.22	111.88	8.67	120.55	92.76	58.83	253.02
DPCH22-C	46.50	58.00	3.26	3.74	11.75	51.56	6.91	3.58	2.73	2.18	139.68	5.17	144.85	96.35	54.83	306.56
Surajmukhi (Check)	58.67	70.00	4.42	6.01	12.49	74.23	5.63	3.00	3.02	2.25	139.28	1.89	141.17	98.65	54.50	313.55
CV (%)	2.85	2.37	4.51	4.17	3.45	3.47	2.61	3.94	3.32	4.37	3.86	5.55	3.63	06.0	4.20	2.86
CD at 5%	2.43	2.52	0.31	0.40	0.69	3.66	0.35	0.21	0.17	0.20	8.50	0.77	8.50	1.41	3.90	16.38
SE(m+-)	0.84	0.87	0.11	0.14	0.24	1.26	0.12	0.07	0.06	1.50	2.92	0.27	2.92	0.48	1.34	5.63

(1)	
<b>_</b>	
+	
<u> </u>	
- 25	
<u> </u>	
0	
- a	
_	
<u> </u>	
•	
0	
5	
+	
•=	
5	
7.0	
-	
- C	
=	
50	
-	
.0	
۹. س	
7.0	
- <b>2</b>	
9	
0	
_	
<u>–</u>	
<u> </u>	
<b>b1</b>	
-	
i	
·	
2	
<u> </u>	
Ξ	
m	
nn	
innu	
innui	
innuu	
innuut	
annuu	
unnunn n	
m annuu	
immuuv um	
ит аппии	
icum annuu	
sicum annuu	
sicum annuu	
psicum annuu	
apsicum annuu	
Japsicum annuui	
Capsicum annuu	
(Capsicum annuu	
i (Capsicum annuu	
li (Capsicum annuu	
illi (Capsicum annuu	
nilli (Capsicum annuu	
hilli (Capsicum annuu	
chilli (Capsicum annuu	
f chilli (Capsicum annuu	
of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
e of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
ce of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
ce of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
nce of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i> )	
ance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
ance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
nance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
mance of chilli (Capsicum annuu)	
rmance of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
ormance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
formance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
rformance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
erformance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
oerformance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
ı performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
n performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
an performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
ean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
lean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
Aean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
1. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
01. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
e 1. Mean performance of chilli (Capsicum annuu	
ole 1. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
ble 1. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
able 1. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	
Fable 1. Mean performance of chilli ( <i>Capsicum annuu</i>	

whereas lowest fruit weight was reported in genotype 'VVG' (1.97g). The most important yield contributing factor in chilli is the marketable number of fruits per plant. The genotypes differed significantly for number of marketable fruits per plant with maximum in 'VVG' (193.91) as compared to check 'Surajmukhi' (139.28) and lowest in 'PBC-535' (72.34). The genotype 'Him Palam Mirch -2' showed the lowest number of nonmarketable fruits per plant (2.30) which was at par with check 'Surajmukhi' (1.89). Two genotypes 'VVG' (197.04) and 'DPCh-101' (195.53) recorded significantly maximum total green fruits per plant while 'Him Palam Mirch -1' (146.93) and 'DPCh22-C' (146.85) showed similar performance to that of check 'Surajmukhi' (141.17). For per cent marketable green fruits per plant, four genotypes namely, 'VVG' (98.39), 'Him Palam Mirch-2' (98.21), 'DPCh-101' (97.76) and 'Him Palam Mirch-1' (97.57) performed at par with check 'Surajmukhi' (98.65). For marketable fruit yield, 'Him Palam Mirch-2' (467.68g) and DPCh-101(458.70g) performed significantly better than check "Surajmukhi' (313.55) whereas genotype 'DPChMS-11-2' recorded the lowest marketable yield (250.91g). The low yield in male sterile lines is obvious due to their segregation into 50% male sterile and 50% male fertile plants and accordingly bears low number of fruits per plant especially in sterile plant

under open field conditions. Variations among majority of the characters using variable genetic material have also been reported by many research workers namely, Sharma *et al.* (2014), Patel *et al.* (2015), Srinivas *et al.* (2017) and Ngullie and Biswas (2019), Negi and Sharma (2019), Azadani (2020) and Farwah*et al.* (2020) in variable environments.

#### Variability studies

The knowledge of phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) are helpful in predicting the amount of variation present in the germplasm which aids in formulating effective breeding programme. The analysis of variance (Table 2) showed that all the varieties slightly differed for all the characters. High magnitude of PCV and GCV were observed for average fruit weight, marketable green fruits per plant, non-marketable green fruits and total green fruits per plant indicating the existence of wide range of genetic variability ensuring sufficient scope for improving these traits through selection. Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV were observed for characters namely, primary branches per plant, secondary branches plant, internodal length of primary branch, fruit length and marketable green fruit yield per plant indicating cautious approach for selection of these traits for improvement. Low GCV and PCV were recorded for days to 50 % flowering, days to first

Traits	Environment	Genotypic	Phenotypic	ECV	GCV	(PCV	h²bs	GA
	variance	Variance	variance	(%)	(%)	%)		(%)
Days to 50% flowering	2.09	13.44	15.53	2.85	7.23	7.77	86.52	12.99
Days to first harvest	2.25	16.64	18.89	2.37	6.46	6.88	88.11	12.49
Primary branches per plant	0.04	0.47	0.51	4.51	16.53	17.14	93.09	32.89
Inter-nodal length of primary branch (cm)	0.06	1.11	1.16	4.17	18.37	18.83	95.10	36.88
Secondary branches per plant	0.17	3.27	3.44	3.45	15.13	15.52	95.05	30.36
Plant height (cm)	4.76	81.45	86.20	3.47	14.35	14.76	94.00	28.73
Fruit length (cm)	0.04	2.34	2.39	2.62	19.32	19.50	98.21	39.42
Pedicel length(cm)	0.02	0.08	0.10	3.94	9.11	9.92	84.26	17.21
Fruit girth (cm)	0.01	0.17	0.18	3.32	13.30	13.70	94.14	26.52
Average green fruit weight (g)	0.01	0.53	0.54	4.37	26.73	27.09	97.40	54.23
Marketable green fruits per plant	25.64	1221.03	1246.66	3.86	26.64	26.91	97.94	54.30
Non -marketable green fruits per plant	0.21	34.67	34.88	5.55	70.75	70.96	99.39	145.27
Total green fruits per plant	25.65	988.26	1013.91	3.63	22.53	22.82	97.47	45.83
Percent marketable green fruit per plant	0.70	35.61	36.31	0.90	6.41	6.47	98.06	13.07
Harvest Duration (days)	5.39	15.14	20.53	4.20	7.04	8.20	73.75	12.46
Marketable green fruit yield per plant(g)	95.15	4293.14	4388.29	2.86	19.20	19.42	97.83	39.13

Table 2. Estimates of parameters of variability for various traits in green chilli pooled over years

PCV and GCV represent phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, respectively; h2 bs: Heritability in broad sense; GA (%): Genetic advance (%) as percent of mean

harvest, pedicel length, fruit girth, plant height, and percent marketable green fruit per plant and harvest duration suggesting limited variability for these traits. The genotypic coefficients of variation for all the traits studied were lesser than the phenotypic coefficients of variation, indicating the role of environment in the manifestation of these traits in different genotypes.

Similar reports were also made by Akula et al. (2016), Meena et al. (2016) and Negi and Sharma (2019) and Gokulakrishnan et al. (2020) for these traits. Stability in the performance of selection of succeeding generations depends on the magnitude of heritable variation present in relation to observed variation. Knowledge of heritability influences the choice of breeding techniques to predict gain from selection and to determine the relative relevance of genetic effects (Negi and Sharma 2019). High heritability estimates were observed for all the characters except harvest duration indicating the greater role of genetic components of variation and lesser influence of environment. High heritability along with high genetic advance was observed for primary branches per plant, internodal length, secondary branches per plant, fruit length, average green fruit weight, marketable green fruits per plant, total green fruits per plant and marketable fruit yield per plant which indicated substantial contribution of additive gene action in the expression and thus selection would be effective for genetic improvement of these traits (Sood et al. 2020). High heritability along with low genetic advance was observed for days to 50% flowering, days to first harvest and percent marketable green fruit per plant. Low heritability along with low genetic advance was found in harvest duration which indicates the possible role of dominance and epistatic gene effects and hence, this character could be improved through hybridization. Similar effects have also been reported by Yatung et al. (2014), Megharaj et al. (2017), Negi and Sharma (2019) and Azadani (2020) in their respective studies.

## **Correlation and Path analysis**

In order to find out the association between yield and related traits the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were estimated (Table 3). The results revealed that the genotypic correlations were higher than the phenotypic correlations for all the characters. Marketable green fruit yield per plant showed positive and significant correlation with marketable green fruits per plant followed by total green fruits per plant, percent marketable green fruits per plant, pedicel length, fruit girth and internodal length of primary branch at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Marketable green fruits per plant showed positive correlation with days to 50 % flowering, days to first harvest, primary branches per plant and plant height. A positive and significant association of average red ripe fruit weight was observed with fruit length and fruit girth. In addition, positive and significant association at both genotypic and phenotypic level was recorded for days to 50% flowering, days to first harvest, primary branches per plant, internodal length of primary branch, secondary branches per plant among themselves while plant height had the same with days to 50 % flowering. Similarly, a positive association was found between fruit length and internodal length. Fruit girth, fruit length, pedicel length and average green fruit weight had positive association among themselves while they had negative association with marketable green fruits per plant. Therefore, selections for improvement in chilli based on these traits have to be taken up carefully by not compromising with consumer preference of medium long and medium broad fruits. Genotypic correlation, rather than phenotypic correlation, gives more reliable assessments of genetic relationship between characters, thus helps in determining the characters that should be taken into account for selection during breeding programme (Sharma et al. 2010; Negi and Sharma 2019; Azadani 2020).

The mutual association of component characters might vary both in magnitude and direction and the simple correlation coefficient may not reflect the exact relationship between yield and related traits. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct path coefficient analysis which permits a critical examination of specific direct and indirect effects of characters and measures their relative intensity in determining the ultimate yield. The path coefficient analysis required to determining the degree of relationship between yield and its component effects, as well as for examining specific factors that contribute to a given correlation. Path coefficient analysis (Table 4) revealed that total green fruits per plant had the maximum positive direct effect on marketable green

Traits	Days	Primary	Inter- S	econdary	Plant	Fruit	Pedicel	Fruit	Average	Marketab	le Non	Total	Percent	Harvest	Marketable
	to first harvest	branches per plant J	nodal length of primary branch	branches per plant	height (cm)	length (cm)	length (cm)	girth (cm)	green fruit weight (g)	green fruits per plan	marketable green t fruits per plant	e green fruits per plant	marketable per green fruit per plant	duration (Days)	green fruit yield per plant
Days for flowering	P 0.839*	0.611*	0.340*	0.483*	0.371* -	0.312*	-0.279	-0.175	-0.353*	0.460*	-0.318*	0.451*	0.333*	-0.477*	0.206
	G 0.955*	$0.661^{*}$	$0.401^{*}$	0.538*	0.426* -	0.340* -	0.328*	0.184	-0.395*	0.527*	-0.347*	0.520*	0.381*	-0.562*	0.260
Days to first harvest	Ь	0.687*	0.297	$0.604^{*}$	0.256 -	0.357* -	0.326*	-0.210	-0.338*	0.439*	-0.309*	$0.430^{*}$	0.257	-0.576*	0.133
	G	0.752*	0.303	$0.681^{*}$	0.291 -	0.396* -	0.375*	-0.225	-0.362*	$0.480^{*}$	-0.321*	0.472*	0.283	-0.728*	0.150
Primary branches per plant	Р		0.372*	$0.861^{*}$	0.255	-0.067 -	0.351*	-0.168	-0.280	$0.348^{*}$	-0.108	0.367*	0.112	-0.580*	0.062
	G		0.388*	$0.884^{*}$	0.287	- 0.069 -	0.421*	-0.187	-0.297	0.357*	-0.101	0.377*	0.114	-0.665*	0.062
Internodal length of primary branch cm)	Ρ			0.081	0.741*	$0.311^{*}$	-0.263	0.051	0.051	0.249	-0.178	0.244	0.212	0.153	$0.404^{*}$
	Ð			0.069	0.790*	0.319* -	0.328*	0.042	0.052	0.247	-0.179	0.241	0.217	0.157	$0.413^{*}$
Secondary branches per plant	Р				-0.074	-0.200	-0.246	0.277	-0.335*	0.278	0.128	0.283	0.130	-0.645*	-0.093
	ŋ				-0.090	-0.192 -	0.306*	-0.296	-0.355*	0.278	-0.139	0.282	0.133	-0.787*	-0.103
Plant height (cm)	Р					- 600.0	0.386*	-0.279	-0.262	0.353*	-0.178	0.359*	0.252	0.223	0.138
	G					0.022 -	0.456*	-0.263	-0.289	0.360*	-0.183	0.365*	0.267	0.237	0.135
Fruit length (cm)	Р						-0.093	$0.384^{*}$	0.702*	-0.494*	$0.654^{*}$	-0.427*	-0.629*	0.033	0.139
	IJ						-0.094	0.385*	0.714*	-0.501*	$0.661^{*}$	-0.433*	-0.644*	0.021	0.142
Pedicel length (cm)	Р							$0.386^{*}$	0.143	0.112	-0.443*	0.044	0.363*	0.181	0.442*
	Ð							$0.470^{*}$	0.159	0.112	-0.474*	0.035	0.410*	0.239NS	$0.476^{*}$
Fruit girth (cm)	Р								$0.806^{*}$	-0.417*	0.059	-0.452*	-0.215	0.180	0.427*
	ŋ								0.850*	-0.438*	0.062	-0.475*	-0.231	0.173	0.443*
Average green fruit weight (g)	Р									-0.703*	$0.436^{*}$	+669'0-	-0.584*	0.138	0.190
	Ū									-0.723*	0.444*	-0.721*	-0.600*	0.149	0.193
Marketable green fruits per plant	Р										-0.644*	0.990*	0.757*	-0.113	$0.514^{*}$
	Ū										-0.649*	0.990*	0.765*	-0.169	$0.506^{*}$
Non -marketable green fruits per plant	Р											-0.529*	-0.950*	-0.166	-0.473*
	G											-0.533*	-0.959*	-0.197	-0.477*
Total green fruits per plant	Р												$0.662^{*}$	-0.154	$0.482^{*}$
	Ū												0.672*	-0.225	0.473*
Percent marketable green fruit per plant	Ρ													0.191	0.475*
	Ū													0.235	0.478*
Harvest duration (Days)	Р														0.112
	Ľ														0.087

Traits		Days to	Days to	Primary 1	Internodal	Secondar	y Plant F	ruit Pedic	el Fruit	AverageN	<b>Jarketabl</b>	e Non -	Total	Percent	Harvest	(Days)
	Û	lowering	first l	branches	length of	branches	height le	ngth lengt.	h girth	green	green	marketabl	le green 1	marketable	duration	R
			harvest	per	primary	per plant	(cm) (	cm) (cm)	(cm)	fruit	fruits	green	fruits	green		
				plant	branch					weight	per	fruits	per	fruit		
					(cm)					(g)	plant	per	plant	per		
												plant		plant		
Days for flowering	P (	0.013	0.108	0.015	-0.013	-0.077	-0.034 -(	.088 -0.00	0 -0.03	-0.278	-1.670	0.112	1.842	0.300	0.017	0.206
	IJ	0.083	0.451	0.525	-0.109	-0.398	-0.159 -(	).120 -0.04	8 0.078	-0.555	-0.857	-0.073	1.164	0.478	-0.199	0.260
Days to first harvest	Ь	0.011	0.128	0.017	-0.012	-0.096	-0.023 -(	0.102 -0.00	1 -0.035	0.266	-1.594	0.108	1.749	0.232	0.021	0.133
	Ū	0.079	0.472	0.597	-0.083	-0.504	-0.109 -(	0.139 -0.05	4 0.096	-0.501	-0.780	-0.0681	1.056	0.354	-0.257	0.150
Primary branches per plant	Р	0.007	0.088	0.025	-0.014	-0.137	-0.023 -(	0.019 -0.00	1 -0.032	-0.220	-1.265	0.038	1.492	0.101	0.021	0.062
	IJ	0.055	0.355	0.794	-0.106	-0.654	-0.107 -0	0.024 -0.06	2 0.080	-0.418	-0.580	-0.021	0.842	0.143	-0.235	0.062
Internodal length of primary branch (cm)	Р	0.004	0.038	0.009	-0.039	-0.012	-0.068 0	.088 -0.00	0.009	0.040	-0.905	0.062	0.990	0.192	-0.005	$0.404^{*}$
	Ð	0.033	0.143	0.308	-0.273	-0.051	-0.296 0	.113 -0.04	8 -0.018	0.073	-0.402	-0.038	0.539	0.273	0.056	0.413*
Secondary branches per plant	Р	0.006	0.076	0.022	-0.003	-0.159	0.007 -0	0.057 -0.00	0 -0.053	-0.263	-1.010	0.049	1.151	0.118	0.023	-0.093
	ŋ	0.045	0.322	0.702	-0.019	-0.740	0.034 -0	0.068 -0.04	5 0.126	-0.499	-0.452	-0.030	0.631	0.167	-0.278	-0.103
Plant height (cm)	Р	0.004	0.033	0.006	-0.028	0.012	-0.091 0	.003 -0.00	1 -0.053	-0.206	-1.283	0.062	1.461	0.228	-0.008	0.138
	Ð	0.035	0.138	0.228	-0.216	0.067	-0.374 0	.008 -0.06	7 0.112	-0.406	-0.585	-0.039	0.816	0.335	0.084	0.135
Fruit length (cm)	Р	-0.004	-0.046	-0.001	-0.012	0.032	-0.001 0	.286 -0.00	0 0.073	0.552	1.795	-0.229	-1.734	-0.568	-0.001	0.139
	ŋ	-0.028	-0.187	-0.055	-0.087	0.142	-0.008 0	.353 -0.01	4 -0.164	1.004	0.815	0.140	-0.968	-0.807	0.007	0.142
Pedicel length (cm)	Ь	-0.003	-0.042	-0.008	0.010	0.039	0.035 -0	0.027 0.000	\$ 0.073	0.113	-0.405	0.155	0.178	0.328	-0.007	0.442*
	ŋ	-0.027	-0.177	-0.335	060.0	0.226	0.171 -0	0.033 0.140	-0.201	0.223	-0.182	-0.100	0.077	0.514	0.084	$0.476^{*}$
Fruit girth (cm)	Р	-0.002	-0.027	-0.004	-0.002	0.044	0.026 0	109 0.00	0.190	0.634	1.516	-0.020	-1.836	-0.195	-0.006	0.427*
	Ð	-0.015	-0.106	-0.149	-0.011	0.219	0 660.0	.136 0.069	-0.425	1.194	0.712	0.013	-1.062	-0.290	0.061	0.443*
Average green fruit weight (g)	Р	-0.004	-0.043	-0.007	-0.002	0.053	0.024 0.	.200 0.000	0.153	0.787	2.556	-0.153	-2.841	-0.527	-0.005	0.190
	ŋ	-0.033	-0.171	-0.236	-0.014	0.262	0.108 0.	.252 0.023	90.365	1.405	1.176	0.094	-1.612	-0.753	0.053	0.193
Marketable green fruits per plant	Ь	0.006	0.056	0.009	-0.009	-0.044	-0.032 -0	0.141 0.000	520.0- (	0.553	-3.633	0.226	4.023	0.684	0.004	$0.514^{*}$
	Ð	0.044	0.227	0.283	-0.068	-0.206	-0.135 -0	0.177 0.016	0.187	-1.016	-1.627	-0.137	2.214	0.960	-0.060	$0.506^{*}$
Non -marketable green fruits per plant	Р	-0.004	-0.039	-0.002	0.007	0.022	0.016 0.	.187 -0.00	1 0.011	0.343	2.342	-0.351	-2.151	-0.858	0.006	-0.473*
	Ð	-0.029	-0.152	-0.080	0.049	0.103	0 690 0	.233 -0.06	9 -0.027	0.624	1.055	0.212	-1.193	-1.203	-0.070	-0.477*
Total green fruits per plant	Р	0.006	0.055	0.009	-0.009	-0.045	-0.033 -0	0.122 0.000	-0.085	-0.549	-3.597	0.186	4.07	0.598	0.006	0.482*
	Ð	0.043	0.223	0.299	-0.066	-0.209	-0.137 -0	0.153 0.005	0.203	-1.013	-1.610	-0.113	2.237	0.843	-0.080	0.473*
Percent marketable green fruit per plant	Р	0.004	0.033	0.003	-0.008	-0.021	-0.023 -0	0.179 0.00	-0.040	0.459	-2.753	0.333	2.691	0.903	-0.007	0.475*
	ŋ	0.032	0.133	0.091	-0.059	-0.099	-0.100 -0	0.227 0.060	0.099	-0.843	-1.245	-0.203	1.503	1.254	0.083	0.478*
Harvest duration (Days)	Р	-0.006	-0.074	-0.014	-0.006	0.103	-0.020 0	00.0 600.	0.034	0.108	0.410	0.058	0.627	0.173	-0.036	0.112
	Ċ	0 047	-0 344	-0 578	-0.043	0 582	0 080 0-	007 0.034	-0.074	0.000	0 774	-0.042	-0 504	0 294	0354	0.087

fruit yield per plant followed by average green fruit weight, percent marketable green fruits per plant, fruit length, primary branches per plant, days to first harvest, days to flowering and pedicel length at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Besides, fruit girth contributed to some extent directly to marketable green fruit yield per plant at phenotypic level while non- marketable green fruits per plant and harvest duration contributed the similar effects at genotypic level. Such a change in direction and magnitude of direct and indirect effects might be due to environmental factors influencing various traits. The negative direct effects of internodal length of primary branch, secondary branches per plant were recorded both at phenotypic and genotypic levels. The earlier research workers namely, Kumar *et al.* (2012), Pandit and Adhikary (2014), Negi and Sharma (2019), Deepo *et al.* (2020) also reported direct contribution of different traits on marketable green fruit yield per plant

Based on the present investigation it can be concluded that 'Him Palam Mirch -2', 'DPCh10', 'DPCh-40' were the most promising genotypes for marketable fruit yield and related traits. Based on parameters of genetic variability and correlation studies, the focus should be given for fruit length, fruit girth, and average fruit weight, number of fruits per plant and harvest duration for genetic improvement of chilli.

**Conflict of interest**: The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- Akula S, Abebie B, Wagori D and Adetris T. 2016.Genetic variability and association of characters in Ethiopian hot pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) land races.Journal of Agricultural Sciences 61: 19-36.
- Al-Jibouri HA, Miller PA and Robinson HF.1958.Genotypic and environmental variance and co-variance in upland cotton crops of inter-specific origin. Agronomy Journal 50: 633-636.
- Azadani HS. 2020. Genetic variability, genetic divergence studies for yield and yield related traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) germplasm. M Sc Thesis, p 190.
  Department of vegetable science, College of Horticulture, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University (unpublished)
- Bozokalfa MK, Esiyok D, Ilbi H and Kaygisiz AT. 2010. Estimates of genetic variability and association studies in quantitative plant traits of *Eruca* spp. landraces. Genetika **42**: 501-512.
- Burton GW and De Vane EH. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal **54**: 478-481.
- Deepo DM, Sarker A, Akter S, Islam Md. M, Hasan M and Zeba N. 2020. Diversity and path analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) based on morphological traits in Northern region of Bangladesh. Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology 8: 179-185.
- Dewey DR and Lu KH. 1959. A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat-grass seed production. Agronomy Journal **51**: 515-518.

- Farwah S, Hussain K, Rizvi S, Hussain SM, Rashid M and Saleem S. 2020. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 8: 1328-1331
- Gokulakrishnan J, Nagaraja C, Ranjith Rajaram S and Prakash M. 2020.Genetic variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.).Plant Archives 20: 686-690.
- Hazra P, Chattopadhyay A, Karmakar K and Dutta S. 2011. *Modern Technology in Vegetable Production*. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi, India, pp. 413.
- Johnson HW, Robinson, HF and Comstock RE. 1955. Estimates of phenotypic and genotypic correlation in soybean and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal **47**: 477-482.
- Kumar D, Rangare VB and Singh SBD. 2012. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). HortFlora Research Spectrum 1: 248-252.
- Meena ML, Kumar N, Meena JK and Rai T. 2016.Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). HortFlora Research Spectrum 5: 153-156.
- Megharaj KC, Ajjappalavara, PS, Satish D and Tatagar MH. 2017. Estimation of genetic variation, path analysis and thrips reaction studies for yield and yield attributing traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Plant Archives **17**: 353-361.

- Negi PS and Sharma A. 2019. Studies on Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Red Ripe Chilli Genotypes. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 8: 1604-1612.
- Ngullie R and Biswas PK. 2019. Vegetative growth and yield performance of four chilli (*Capsicum annuum* l.) Cultivars under Mokokchung district of Nagaland. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences **11** (3): 7833-7835.
- NHB. 2020 . http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/State\_Level/2017-18-(Final).pdf
- Okuyama LA, Fedeizi LC and Barbosa JF. 2004. Correlation and path analysis of yield and its components and plant traits in wheat. Ciência Rural 6: 1701-1708.
- Pandit MK and Adhikary S. 2014. Variability and heritability estimates in some reproductive characters and yield in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science **3**: 845-853.
- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Ed. 4th, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Patel DK, Patel BR, Patel JR and Kuchhadiya GV. 2015. Genetic variability and character association studies for green fruit yield and quality component traits in chilli. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding 6: 472-478.
- Salvador MH. 2002. Genetic resources of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in Mexico Proceedings of the 16th Int. Pepper Conf., Tampico, Tamaulipas, Mexico, November. Pp. 10-12.
- Sekhon BS, Sharma A, Katoch V, Kapila RK and Sood VK. 2019. Assessment of genetic diversity in advanced breeding lines derived from intraspecific crosses of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Legume Research 42: 145-152.
- Sharma A, Sekhon BS, Sharma S and Kumar R. 2020. Newly isolated intervarietal garden pea (*Pisum sativum*

L.) progenies (F7) under north western Himalayan conditions of India. Experimental Agriculture **56**: 76-87.

- Sharma KC, Verma S, Sharma A and Sharma P. 2010. Genetic divergence and path analysis in early maturing garden peas. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research 35: 176-179.
- Sharma S, Barche S, Sengupta SK, Verma BK and Jamkar T. 2014. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International Journal of Farm Sciences 4: 112-116.
- Sood S, Kumar Naveen, Chandel KS and Sharma Parveen. 2011. Determination of genetic variation for morphological and yield traits in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var. grossum). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 81 (7): 590-594.
- Sood T, Basandrai D, Rana V and Basandrai AK. 2020. Genetic diversity analysis for various agro morphological, yield and yield related traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research **46**: 136-144.
- Srinivas J, Ravinder Reddy K, Saidaiah P, Anitha PK and Pandravada SR. 2017. Performance of Chilli Genotypes for Yield and Yield Attributes of Fruit Quality in Southern Telangana, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 6: 469-477.
- Srinivas J, Reddy KR, Saidaiah P, Anitha K, Pandravada SR and Balram M. 2020. Correlation and path analysis study in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes. International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry 21: 1-11.
- Yatung T, Dubey KR, Singh V, Upadhyay G and Pandey AK. 2014. Selection parameters for fruit yield and related traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Bangladesh Journal of Botany 43: 283-291.