

Heterotic expression for fruit yield and component traits in intervarietal hybrids of okra [Abelmsochus esculentus (L.) Moench]

Shweta*, Sonia Sood, V.K. Sood and Sanjay Chadha

Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, College of Agriculture CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur-1760 62, India.

*Corresponding author: shwetaguleria228@gmail.com Manuscript Received: 13.05.2022; Accepted:21.06.2022

Abstract

Heterosis studies were conducted using 9 lines and 3 testers in a line × tester mating design at Vegetable Research Farm, CSK HPKV, Palampur (H.P.) during rainy season 2021. The results revealed HPO-1 × P-8, Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat, Palam Round × Hisar Unnat and VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat found as promising cross-combinations over better parent for fruit yield. Whereas Palam Round × Hisar Unnat, Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat and VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat were the top ranking cross-combinations exhibiting significant heterosis over Samrat (standard check 1) and Shakti (standard check 2). Palam Round × Hisar Unnat was identified as best cross-combination as it exhibited significant positive heterosis over both SC1 and SC2 for fruit yield per plant. It also displayed significant positive heterosis over SC1 for nodes per plant, plant height, average fruit weight and fruits per plant and over SC2 for average fruit weight. Hybrid vigour is available for commercial production of okra hybrid and that isolation of pure lines from the progenies of heterotic F_1 's is a possible way to enhance the fruit yield.

Key words: Heterobeltiosis, Standard heterosis, Okra and Productivity

Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) also known as Lady's finger or Bhindi belongs to family Malvaceae, native to Africa is one of the most valuable warm season vegetable crops grown in India having highest chromosome number among vegetables (2n=130). It is grown in many tropical and subtropical parts of the world. India is the largest producer of okra in the world and it is cultivated extensively round the year for its immature fruits (Javed et al. 2009). Tender fruits are used as vegetables or in culinary preparations as sliced or dried pieces. Mature fruits and stem containing crude fibre and are used in paper industry. It is more beneficial than leafy vegetables as it contains low calorie food provide 30 calories per 100g and is rich source of dietary fibre, minerals and vitamins (A, C, K), folic acid, riboflavin and its pods are rich source of mucilage substance that help in smooth peristalsis of digested food (Sood et al. 2016). Because of high mucilage content, it is used for thickening gravies and soups. Okra contains highest amount of iodine which prevents goitre disease and often recommended by nutritionists because it controls cholesterol level and

used in weight reduction programmes. Its nutritive value is higher than tomatoes, eggplant and most of the cucurbits except bitter gourd (Nonnecke 1989). The okra plant requires warm temperature and is unable to withstand low temperature for long or tolerate any threat of frost. Optimum minimum temperature for growth ranges between 21 to 30°C and maximum temperature ranges between 18°C to 35°C, respectively (Abd-EI Kader *et al.* 2010). Okra is an annual crop that requires warm temperature and found in almost every market all over Africa (Schippers 2000).

Exploitation of heterosis in okra has been recognized as a practical tool in providing the breeders a means of improving yield and other related traits. Emasculation and pollination events are easier due to large flower and monoadelphous stamens. The highest percentage of fruit setting shows the likelihood of exploitation of hybrid vigour. For developing promising hybrids, the choice of parents is a matter of great concern to the plant breeder (Inamullah *et al.* 2006). The present research was, therefore,

undertaken to study the heterotic expression for fruit yield and component traits.

Materials and Methods

The experimental material involved 27 F_1 's developed by crossing nine diverse genotypes of okra viz., 9801, Punjab Suhawani, Kashi Vibhuti, Kashi Pragati, Kashi Satdhari, Palam 5 ridged, Palam Round, VRO-4 and HPO-1 as female parents and three horticulturally superior testers namely Hisar Unnat, Palam Komal and P-8 in line × tester mating design given by Kempthorne (1957). 27 F_1 hybrids along with twelve parents and two standard checks, Samrat (SC1) and Shakti (SC2) hybrids of Nunhems were grown in Randomized Block Design with three replications in rainy season 2021 at Vegetable Research Farm, CSK HPKV, Palampur (H.P.). The material was planted with inter and intra row spacing of 45cm and 30 cm,

respectively. The observations were recorded on five randomly taken plants in each replication. The traits studied were days to 50% flowering, days to first picking, nodes per plant, internodal length (cm), plant height (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), average fruit weight (g), fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant (g). Heterosis over better parent and standard checks were calculated as percent increase or decrease in F_1 hybrids as per the formulae given by Singh and Chaudhary (1977).

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance for the experimental design given in Table 1 revealed significant differences among genotype for all the traits studied except nodes per plant and analysis of variance for line × tester design presented in Table 2. The data indicated significant differences among parent, female and male

Table 1. Analy	vsis of variand	e for the Rand	lomized Bloc	k Design fo	r different traits	in okra
Indic Intillini	y 515 01 Y 41 1411	citor the reality	connice a proc	n Design io	'i uniter ent er ares	

Source of variation			Mean squares	
		Replication	Genotype	Error
Trait	df	2	40	80
Days to 50 % flowering		23.569*	12.898*	3.086
Days to first picking		18.829*	21.694*	3.596
Nodes per plant		4.178	5.334	0.884
Internodal length (cm)		7.911*	6.208*	0.684
Plant height (cm)		900.778*	1713.12*	87.780
Fruit length (cm)		0.680*	1.581*	0.158
Fruit diameter (cm)		0.0118*	0.0185*	0.0024
Average fruit weight (g)		6.542*	7.688*	1.334
Fruits per plant		3.934*	6.100*	1.002
Fruit yield per plant(g)		670.829*	2537.85*	149.487

* Significant at 5% level

Source of variation Mean squares								
Trait	Replication	Parent	Female	Male	Female	Hybrid	Parent vs.	Error
					vs. Male		Hybrid	
df	2	11	8	2	1	26	1	76
Days to 50% flowering	19.342*	10.939*	11.287*	3.444	23.148*	13.992*	1.163	3.149
Days to first picking	14.316*	15.583*	12.648*	33.778*	2.676	26.255*	0.086	3.492
Nodes per plant	3.138*	4.733*	5.762*	2.953*	0.054	5.074*	3.291	0.858
Internodal length (cm)	7.792*	5.667*	4.463*	5.812*	15.014*	3.499*	94.863*	0.700
Plant height (cm)	1004.422*	1459.002*	857.006*	2014.633*	5163.708*	1115.891	1028.488*	88.215
Fruit length (cm)	0.677*	1.904*	1.551*	1.267*	6.007*	1.516*	1.337*	0.165
Fruit diameter (cm)	0.012*	0.028*	0.025*	0.039*	0.029*	0.016*	0.025*	0.002
Average fruit weight (g)	6.551*	7.065*	6.961*	5.858*	10.316*	7.857*	15.083*	1.392
Fruits per plant	2.877	7.518*	8.696*	5.817*	1.500	5.330*	4.925*	0.977
Fruit yield per plant (g)	537.106*	2741.169*	3062.044*	2820.507*	15.501	2664.458*	437.842	145.641
* Significant at 5% level								

for all the traits except non-significant differences among males for days to 50% flowering. Hybrid exhibited significant differences for all the traits except plant height. Significant differences recorded among female *vs.* male for days to 50% flowering, internodal length, plant height, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight. Parent *vs.* hybrid exhibited significant differences for internodal length, plant height, fruit length, fruit diameter, average fruit weight and fruits per plant.

A perusal of data presented in Table 3-7 indicated that Kashi Pragati \times P-8 recorded maximum negative significant heterobeltiosis while 9801 \times P-8 exhibited maximum negative significant standard heterosis over standard check 1 and standard check 2. Out of 27 cross-combinations; 8, 11 and 11 crosses exhibited desirable heterosis over better parent, standard check 1 and standard check 2, respectively for days to 50% flowering. Significant and negative heterosis for this trait have also been reported earlier by Kachhadia *et al.* (2011), Medagam *et al.* (2012), Jagan *et al.* (2013), Javia (2013), Shaikh (2014), Neetu (2015), Babubhai (2017), Manubhai (2017), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021) and Singh (2021). For days to first picking, maximum negative significant heterobeltiosis were exhibited by Kashi Pragati ×

Trait		Days to 50% fl	owering		Days to first p	oicking
Cross	Better	Standard	Standard	Better	Standard	Standard
	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2
9801 × Hisar Unnat	0.00	0.00	0.00	-5.06	-6.83*	-5.06
9801× Palam Komal	-8.39*	-9.03*	-9.03*	-9.64*	-6.83*	-5.06
9801 × P-8	-11.43*	-13.89*	-13.89*	-6.67*	-13.04*	-11.39*
Punjab Suhawani × Hisar Unnat	-4.86	-4.86	-4.86	-9.49*	-11.18*	-9.49*
Punjab Suhawani × Palam Komal	-9.09*	-9.72*	-9.72*	-6.63*	-3.73	-1.90
Punjab Suhawani × P-8	-2.82	-4.17	-4.17	3.27	-1.86	0.00
Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat	0.69	0.69	0.69	1.90	0.00	1.90
Kashi Vibhuti × Palam Komal	-0.70	-1.39	-1.39	-4.82	-1.86	0.00
Kashi Vibhuti × P-8	-6.52*	-10.42*	-10.42*	-3.85	-6.83*	-5.06
Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat	-11.03*	-10.42*	-10.42*	-14.97*	-11.80*	-10.13*
Kashi Pragati × Palam Komal	-0.69	0.00	0.00	-8.38*	-4.97	-3.16
Kashi Pragati × P-8	-11.72*	-11.11*	-11.11*	-8.38*	-4.97	-3.16
Kashi Satdhari × Hisar Unnat	-9.03*	-9.03*	-9.03*	-1.27	-3.11	-1.27
Kashi Satdhari × Palam Komal	0.00	-0.69	-0.69	6.63*	9.94*	12.03*
Kashi Satdhari × P-8	-5.80	-9.72*	-9.72*	2.04	-6.83*	-5.06
Palam 5 ridged× Hisar Unnat	-2.08	-2.08	-2.08	0.00	-1.86	0.00
Palam 5 ridged× Palam Komal	-2.80	-3.47	-3.47	-6.63*	-3.73	-1.90
Palam 5 ridged× P-8	-2.90	-6.94*	-6.94 *	2.00	-4.97	-3.16
Palam Round× Hisar Unnat	2.78	2.78	2.78	12.03*	9.94*	12.03*
Palam Round× Palam Komal	0.70	0.00	0.00	-1.81	1.24	3.16
Palam Round× P-8	0.00	-4.17	-4.17	1.28	-1.86	0.00
VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat	-2.78	-2.78	-2.78	-1.90	-3.73	-1.90
VRO-4 × Palam Komal	-5.59	-6.25*	-6.25*	-7.83*	-4.97	-3.16
VRO-4×P-8	5.80	1.39	1.39	10.46*	4.97	6.96*
HPO-1 × Hisar Unnat	-5.56	-5.56	-5.56	-4.97	-4.97	-3.16
HPO-1 × Palam Komal	-7.69*	-8.33*	-8.33*	-11.45*	-8.70*	-6.96*
$HPO-1 \times P-8$	-0.72	-4.86	-4.86	-6.83*	-6.83*	-5.06
S.E. \pm (d)	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.53	1.53	1.53

Table 3. Estimates of heterosis for days to 50% flowering and days to first picking

* Significant at 5% level

Standard heterosis 1: over standard check (Samrat); Standard heterosis 2: over standard check (Shakti)

Hisar Unnat while 9801 \times P-8 showed maximum negative significant heterosis over standard check 1 and standard check 2. As many as 11, 9 and 4 crosses exhibited significant negative heterosis over BP, SC1 and SC2, respectively. Similar results were also observed by Jagan *et al.* (2013), Neetu (2015), Vani (2015), Babubhai (2017), Manubhai (2017), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021).

For nodes per plant, Palam Round \times Hisar Unnat exhibited maximum positive significant heterosis over better parent and standard check 1. Over BP, 1 crosscombination while over SC1, 2 crosses exhibited significant positive heterosis. None of the crosscombination showed significant value over SC2 but highest positive non-significant heterosis displayed by Palam Round × Hisar Unnat. Kachhadia *et al.* (2011), Javia (2013), Neetu (2015), Vani (2015), Babubhai (2017), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018) and Singh (2021) also reported significant positive heterosis for this trait.

For internodal length, two cross-combinations *viz.*, Palam 5 ridged \times P-8 and HPO-1 \times Palam Komal exhibited significant negative heterobeltiosis whereas two crosses viz., HPO-1 \times Palam Komal and Palam 5 ridged \times P-8 displayed significant negative heterosis over both SC1 and SC2. These results are in agreement

Trait	ľ	Nodes per plar	nt		Internodal length (cm)		
Cross	Better	Standard	Standard	Better	Standard	Standard	
	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	
9801 × Hisar Unnat	-11.11*	-2.37	-11.33*	5.79	17.57*	13.05	
9801× Palam Komal	-16.25*	-8.01	-16.46*	30.68*	7.64	3.50	
9801 × P-8	-0.83	8.93*	-1.08	-12.36	-7.25	-10.81	
Punjab Suhawani × Hisar Unnat	-6.14	-5.28	-13.98*	-2.99	7.81	3.67	
Punjab Suhawani × Palam Komal	-15.19*	-16.58*	-24.23*	18.45*	4.64	0.62	
Punjab Suhawani × P-8	-6.11	-7.65	-16.13*	3.44	9.47	5.27	
Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat	0.36	1.28	-8.02*	2.36	13.76	9.39	
Kashi Vibhuti × Palam Komal	-14.28*	-16.21*	-23.90*	24.42*	15.25*	10.82	
Kashi Vibhuti × P-8	-3.63	-12.93*	-20.93*	12.82	19.39*	14.80*	
Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat	-10.65*	-9.84*	-18.11*	4.30	15.91*	11.46	
Kashi Pragati × Palam Komal	-3.84	-6.01	-14.64*	5.36	11.00	6.73	
Kashi Pragati × P-8	6.16	-2.73	-11.66*	1.56	7.48	3.35	
Kashi Satdhari × Hisar Unnat	-8.66*	-7.83	-16.29*	4.99	16.68*	12.20	
Kashi Satdhari × Palam Komal	-12.04*	-14.03*	-21.92*	28.31*	11.55	7.26	
Kashi Satdhari × P-8	-1.51	-10.66*	-18.86*	2.18	8.14	3.98	
Palam 5 ridged× Hisar Unnat	-5.23	-4.37	-13.15*	4.70	16.35*	11.88	
Palam 5 ridged× Palam Komal	-5.52	-7.65	-16.13*	11.62	-8.06	-11.6	
Palam 5 ridged× P-8	3.90	-2.91	-11.83*	-26.81*	-22.54*	-25.52*	
Palam Round× Hisar Unnat	9.69*	15.08*	4.52	-6.42	4.00	0.00	
Palam Round× Palam Komal	-14.53*	-10.33*	-18.56*	46.60*	20.75*	16.11*	
Palam Round×P-8	-15.28*	-11.11*	-19.27*	16.38*	23.17*	18.43*	
VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat	1.44	2.37	-7.03	1.99	13.34	8.99	
VRO-4 × Palam Komal	-6.45	-8.56*	-16.96*	43.93*	18.55*	13.99	
$VRO-4 \times P-8$	-4.42	-7.10	-15.63*	0.69	6.56	2.47	
HPO-1 × Hisar Unnat	-7.22	-6.38	-14.97*	7.83	19.83*	15.23*	
HPO-1 × Palam Komal	1.75	-0.55	-9.68*	-16.69*	-23.53*	-26.47*	
$HPO-1 \times P-8$	-1.61	-11.11*	-19.27*	7.41	13.67	9.31	
SE + (d)	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.68	0.68	0.68	

 Table 4. Estimates of heterosis for nodes per plant and internodal length

with the findings of Kachhadia *et al.* (2011), Medagam *et al.* (2012), Javia (2013), Neetu (2015), More *et al.* (2017), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Patel *et al.* (2020) and Rajani *et al.* (2021). For plant height, highest positive significant heterosis over better parent exhibited by VRO-4 × Palam Komal while over standard check 1 by Palam Round × Hisar Unnat. None of the cross-combination exhibited positive significant heterosis over SC2 but highest positive non-significant heterosis displayed by Palam Round × Hisar Unnat. As many as 6 crosses each over BP and SC1 exhibited significant positive heterosis. These results are in

broad conformity to the findings of Kachhadia *et al.* (2011), Shaikh (2014), Neetu (2015), Babubhai (2017), More *et al.* (2017), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Patel *et al.* (2020) and Singh (2021).

For fruit length, VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent whereas Punjab Suhawani × P-8 displayed maximum positive significant heterosis over both standard check 1 and standard check 2. Over BP, SC1 and SC2; 1, 4 and 1 cross-combination showed significant positive heterosis, respectively. The results are in line with those of Kachhadia *et al.* (2011), Javia (2013), Shaikh

Trait	- F8-	Plant height (2m)		Fruit length (c	m)
Cross	Better	Standard	Standard	Better	Standard	Standard
	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2
9801 × Hisar Unnat	2.67	14.68*	0.72	-6.27*	-1.63	-5.85*
9801× Palam Komal	22.71*	-1.14	-13.18*	-18.27*	-10.73*	-14.55*
9801 × P-8	2.54	-2.09	-14.01*	-1.44	5.22*	0.71
Punjab Suhawani × Hisar Unnat	-8.41*	2.30	-10.15*	1.07	1.49	-2.86
Punjab Suhawani × Palam Komal	-0.14	-13.26*	-23.82*	-10.87*	-2.64	-6.81*
Punjab Suhawani × P-8	5.90	1.11	-11.19*	3.36	10.35*	5.63*
Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat	3.18	15.25*	1.22	-13.80*	-7.53*	-11.50*
Kashi Vibhuti × Palam Komal	15.86*	-3.50	-15.25*	-9.69*	-1.35	-5.58*
Kashi Vibhuti × P-8	8.50	3.60	-9.01*	-15.74*	-9.61*	-13.48*
Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat	-6.44	4.51	-8.21*	-4.97*	-4.57	-8.66*
Kashi Pragati × Palam Komal	8.52	4.38	-8.33*	-6.06*	2.61	-1.79
Kashi Pragati × P-8	8.69	4.55	-8.18*	-8.91*	-2.75	-6.92*
Kashi Satdhari × Hisar Unnat	-3.82	7.44	-5.64	0.93	1.35	-2.99
Kashi Satdhari × Palam Komal	19.05*	-4.09	-15.77*	-6.19*	2.47	-1.92
Kashi Satdhari × P-8	1.17	-3.40	-15.16*	-12.10*	-6.16*	-10.18*
Palam 5 ridged× Hisar Unnat	-0.43	11.23*	-2.31	-5.41*	-5.01*	-9.08*
Palam 5 ridged× Palam Komal	4.84	-15.53*	-25.82*	-9.56*	-1.21	-5.45*
Palam 5 ridged× P-8	-21.22*	-24.77*	-33.93*	-9.35*	-3.22	-7.37*
Palam Round× Hisar Unnat	6.82	19.32*	4.79	-9.35*	-7.49*	-11.45*
Palam Round× Palam Komal	25.47*	8.27	-4.91	-3.25	5.69*	1.16
Palam Round× P-8	14.24*	9.08	-4.19	-5.77*	0.61	-3.71
VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat	3.41	15.51*	1.45	4.92*	5.36*	0.85
VRO-4 × Palam Komal	33.99*	7.95	-5.19	-9.27*	-0.89	-5.13*
$VRO-4 \times P-8$	3.00	-1.65	-13.62*	-6.51*	-0.19	-4.46*
HPO-1 × Hisar Unnat	-1.11	10.47*	-2.98	-4.55	-4.15	-8.26*
HPO-1 × Palam Komal	-5.63	-23.97*	-33.23*	-6.08*	2.59	-1.81
$HPO-1 \times P-8$	5.60	0.83	-11.44*	-4.72*	1.73	-2.63
S.E. \pm (d)	7.67	7.67	7.67	0.33	0.33	0.33

(2014), Vani (2105), Manubhai (2017), More *et al.* (2017), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Das *et al.* (2020), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021) and Singh (2021). For fruit diameter, 9801 × P-8 revealed maximum negative significant heterosis over better parent and Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat exhibited maximum negative significant standard heterosis I and standard heterosis II. As many as 12, 3 and 3 crosses showed significant negative heterosis over BP, SC1 and SC2, respectively. These results are in consonance with those of Jagan *et al.* (2013), Solankey *et al.* (2013), Babubhai (2017) and Makdoomi *et al.* (2018).

For average fruit weight, Kashi Satdhari × P-8 recorded maximum positive significant heterobeltiosis whereas over standard check 1 and standard check 2, maximum positive significant heterosis displayed by Palam Round × Hisar Unnat. As many as 2, 15 and 11 crosses exhibited significant positive heterosis over BP, SC1 and SC2, respectively. Solankey *et al.* (2013), Shaikh (2014), Vani (2015), More *et al.* (2017), El-Sherbeny *et al.* (2018), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Das *et al.* (2020), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021) and Singh (2021) observed significant positive heterosis in their respective studies. For fruits

Table 6. Estimates of heterosis for fruit diameter and average fruit weight

Trait	Fr	Fruit diameter (cm)		Average fruit weight (g)			
Cross	Better	Standard	Standard	Better	Standard	Standard	
	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	
9801 × Hisar Unnat	-0.63	3.50	4.65	-3.48	19.32*	11.96	
9801×Palam Komal	-10.29*	-6.56*	-5.53*	-10.68	9.44	2.69	
9801 × P-8	-12.10*	-3.06	-1.99	4.71	15.27	8.16	
Punjab Suhawani × Hisar Unnat	-1.56	-3.50	-2.43	-7.07	14.88	7.79	
Punjab Suhawani × Palam Komal	1.36	-1.97	-0.88	1.09	23.86*	16.22*	
Punjab Suhawani × P-8	-5.56*	4.16	5.31	5.92	9.92	3.14	
Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat	-5.42*	-0.66	0.44	1.90	27.95*	20.06*	
Kashi Vibhuti × Palam Komal	-6.67*	-1.97	-0.88	-12.84*	9.45	2.70	
Kashi Vibhuti × P-8	-5.36*	4.38	5.53*	-7.22	16.49*	9.31	
Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat	-8.71*	-10.50*	-9.51*	5.34	30.22*	22.19*	
Kashi Pragati × Palam Komal	-7.81*	-9.63*	-8.63*	0.45	23.07*	15.48*	
Kashi Pragati × P-8	-11.11*	-1.97	-0.88	-5.64	-2.08	-8.12	
Kashi Satdhari × Hisar Unnat	8.85*	7.66*	8.85*	-16.91*	2.71	-3.62	
Kashi Satdhari × Palam Komal	5.75*	4.60	5.75*	8.70	33.18*	24.97*	
Kashi Satdhari × P-8	-3.17	6.78*	7.96*	16.22*	26.07*	18.29*	
Palam 5 ridged× Hisar Unnat	-3.26	-2.63	-1.55	-0.77	22.67*	15.10*	
Palam 5 ridged× Palam Komal	3.91	4.60	5.75*	-4.71	16.75*	9.55	
Palam 5 ridged× P-8	-5.56*	4.16	5.31	-23.29*	-12.61	-18.00*	
Palam Round× Hisar Unnat	3.57	1.53	2.65	9.41	35.25*	26.91*	
Palam Round× Palam Komal	5.43	1.97	3.10	6.47	30.44*	22.40*	
Palam Round× P-8	-9.13*	0.22	1.33	15.58*	19.95*	12.55	
VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat	2.20	1.53	2.65	0.73	24.52*	16.84*	
VRO-4 × Palam Komal	5.73*	5.03	6.19*	-14.73*	4.48	-1.96	
VRO-4×P-8	-3.37	6.56*	7.74*	-26.21*	-11.15	-16.63*	
HPO-1 × Hisar Unnat	4.91	2.84	3.98	-0.26	23.31*	15.70*	
HPO-1 × Palam Komal	3.62	0.22	1.33	-16.99*	1.71	-4.57	
$HPO-1 \times P-8$	-11.90*	-2.84	-1.77	-1.63	2.09	-4.21	
S.E. \pm (d)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.96	0.96	0.96	

per plant, Palam Round × Hisar Unnat exhibited maximum positive significant heterosis over standard check 1. None of the cross-combination showed positive significant heterosis over BP and SC2 but highest positive non-significant heterosis displayed by Palam Round × Hisar Unnat. Jagan *et al.* (2013), Shaikh (2014), Vani (2015), Babubhai (2017), Manubhai (2017), More *et al.* (2017), El-Sherbeny *et al.* (2018), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Vekariya *et al.* (2019), Das *et al.* (2020), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021) and Singh (2021) also reported positive heterosis for this trait. For fruit yield per plant, four cross-combinations viz., HPO-1 × P-8, Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat, Palam Round × Hisar Unnat and VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent while three crosses *viz.*, Palam Round × Hisar Unnat, Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat and VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat displayed significant positive heterosis over both SC1 and SC2. Solankey *et al.* (2013), Neetu (2015), Vani (2015), Babubhai (2017), Manubhai (2017), More *et al.* (2018), Babubhai (2018), Kulkarni *et al.* (2018), Makdoomi *et al.* (2018), Das *et al.* (2020), Patel *et al.* (2020), Rajani *et al.* (2021) and

Trait	^	Fruits per plant			Fruit yield per plant (g)		
Cross	Better	Standard	Standard	Better	Standard	Standard	
	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	parent	heterosis 1	heterosis 2	
9801 × Hisar Unnat	-19.08*	-5.31	-11.11*	-18.26*	-3.74	-4.05	
9801× Palam Komal	-24.14*	-11.23*	-16.67*	-34.14*	-22.44*	-22.69*	
9801 × P-8	-8.97*	6.53	0.00	-11.43*	4.31	3.97	
Punjab Suhawani × Hisar Unnat	-11.72*	-6.49	-12.22*	-8.01	-9.40	-9.70*	
Punjab Suhawani × Palam Komal	-17.43*	-20.40*	-25.28*	-25.31*	-19.41*	-19.67*	
Punjab Suhawani × P-8	-0.84	-11.24*	-16.69*	-12.73*	-14.04*	-14.32*	
Kashi Vibhuti × Hisar Unnat	-3.67	2.03	-4.22	27.19*	21.49*	21.09*	
Kashi Vibhuti × Palam Komal	-15.78*	-18.80*	-23.78*	-29.59*	-24.02*	-24.27*	
Kashi Vibhuti × P-8	-4.12	-14.18*	-19.44*	-12.09*	-17.58*	-17.85*	
Kashi Pragati × Hisar Unnat	-17.64*	-12.76*	-18.11*	-7.35	-11.51*	-11.80*	
Kashi Pragati × Palam Komal	-6.55	-9.90*	-15.43*	-9.74*	-2.61	-2.93	
Kashi Pragati × P-8	7.38	-3.89	-9.78*	-0.97	-22.62*	-22.87*	
Kashi Satdhari × Hisar Unnat	-14.40*	-9.33	-14.89*	-13.76*	-17.63*	-17.90*	
Kashi Satdhari × Palam Komal	-14.67*	-17.74*	-22.78*	-13.68*	-6.85	-7.16	
Kashi Satdhari × P-8	-5.76	-14.09*	-19.35*	2.04	-16.81*	-17.08*	
Palam 5 ridged× Hisar Unnat	-5.72	-0.14	-6.26	4.13	-0.55	-0.87	
Palam 5 ridged× Palam Komal	-1.64	-5.17	-10.98*	-13.54*	-6.71	-7.01	
Palam 5 ridged× P-8	4.28	-5.76	-11.54*	-29.50*	-33.60*	-33.81*	
Palam Round× Hisar Unnat	8.96	15.41*	8.33	21.73*	33.77*	33.33*	
Palam Round× Palam Komal	-14.47*	-10.91*	-16.37*	-17.54*	-9.38	-9.68*	
Palam Round× P-8	-16.33*	-12.84*	-18.19*	-24.96*	-17.54*	-17.81*	
VRO-4 × Hisar Unnat	-5.23	0.37	-5.78	15.63*	11.91*	11.54*	
VRO-4 × Palam Komal	-5.77	-9.15	-14.72*	-25.30*	-19.39*	-19.66*	
VRO-4×P-8	-4.50	-8.27	-13.89*	-12.75*	-15.56*	-15.83*	
HPO-1 × Hisar Unnat	-11.49*	-6.25	-12.00*	1.38	-3.17	-3.48	
HPO-1 × Palam Komal	3.40	-0.32	-6.43	-21.48*	-15.27*	-15.55*	
HPO-1 \times P-8	-0.82	-11.23*	-16.67*	30.78*	2.19	1.86	
$S.E.\pm(d)$	0.81	0.81	0.81	9.85	9.85	9.85	

Table 7. Estimates	of heterosis fo	r fruits per	plant and fruit	vield pe	r plant
inoic / institutes	01 1100010 10	I II WICO POL	picture wind in die	JICIG PC	I PIGHT

Singh (2021) have also reported hybrid vigour with variable magnitude for fruit yield per plant in good number of crosses.

From the above discussion, it can be concluded that among 27 F_1 hybrids, Palam Round × Hisar Unnat was identified as superior hybrid as it displayed significant positive heterosis over standard check 1 and standard check 2 for fruit yield. It also exhibited desirable heterosis over SC1 for nodes per plant, plant height, average fruit weight and fruits per plant and over SC2 for average fruit weight along with fruit yield per plant. Highest yield is the basic objective of all crop improvement programmes. In literature, most of the research work refers to average heterosis and heterobeltiosis only. Jagan *et al.* (2013), Neetu (2015), Manubhai (2017) and Singh (2021) also worked out average heterosis and heterobeltiosis in their respective studies. However, standard heterosis is of practical interest to the breeders as well as growers. Heterosis breeding has an upper hand over the open pollinated cultivars as hybrids developed have the advantage of higher yields with uniform maturity, size shape and colour of the fruits. The results suggest that heterosis for fruit yield is obtained through component heterosis. Even the slight hybrid vigour for individual yield components may have synergistic effects on yield.

Conflict of interest: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- Abd El-Kader AA, Shaaban SM, Abd El-Fattah MS. 2010. Effect of irrigation levels and organic compost on okra plants (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) grown in sandy calcareous soil. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1(3): 225–231.
- Babubhai SS. 2017. Line × tester analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). MSc Thesis pp 78-80. Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Junagadh Agricultural University, Gujarat, India.
- Das A, Yadav RK, Choudhary H, Singh S, Khade YP and Chandel R. 2020. Determining genetic combining ability, heterotic potential and gene action for yield contributing traits and yellow vein mosaic virus (YVMV) resistance in okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization 18: 316-329.
- El-Sherbeny GAR, Khaled AGA, Obiadalla-Ali HA and Ahmed AYM. 2018. Estimates of heterosis and combining ability in okra under different environments. Journal of Sohag Agriscience 1: 50-64.
- Inamullah, Ahmed H, Muhammad F, Sirajuddin, Hassan G and Gul R. 2006. Evaluation of heterotic and heterobeltiotic potential of wheat genotype for improved yield. Pakistan Journal of Botany **38**(4): 1159-1168.
- Jagan K, Reddy RK, Sujatha M, Sravanthi V and Reddy SM. 2013. Heterosis for yield and yield components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences 7: 69-70.
- Javed H, Aziz MA and Leghari RAK. 2009. Resistance in

different okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars against American bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.). Journal of Agricultural Research **47**: 433-438.

- Javia RM. Line × tester analysis for heterosis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Asian Journal of Bio Science 8: 251-254.
- Kachhadia VH, Vachhani JH, Jivani LL, Shekhat HG and Dangaria CJ. 2011. Heterosis for fruit yield and yield components over environments in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Research on Crops **12**: 568-573.
- Kempthorne O. 1957. An introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp 458-471.
- Kulkarni VM, Patel BR and Parihar A. 2018. Heterosis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) for green fruit yield and quality parameters over the environments. Frontiers in Crop Improvement 6: 81-85.
- Makdoomi MI, Wani KP, Dar ZA, Hussain K, Nabi A, Mushtaq F and Mufti S. 2018. Heterosis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 7: 3297-3304.
- Manubhai KS. 2017. Heterosis, combining ability and stability analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Ph D Thesis pp 236-241. Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Jagudan, Gujarat, India.
- Medagam TR, Kadiyala HB, Mutyala G, Begum H and Krishna Reddy RS. 2012. Exploitation of heterosis for

growth, earliness and yield attributes in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). International Journal of Plant Breeding **6**: 53-60.

- More SJ, Chaudhari KN, Vaidya GB and Chawla SL. 2017. Estimation of hybrid vigour for fruit yield and quality traits of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] through line × tester analysis carried over environments. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences **6**: 4101-4111.
- Neetu. 2015. Heterosis and combining ability studies on yield and quality parameters in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Ph D Thesis pp 99-102. Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India.
- Nonnecke IL 1989.Vegetable Production. Van Nostrand Reinhold AVI Publishing, pp608-609.
- Patel AA, Patel AI, Parekh VB, Patel RK, Mali SC and Vekariya RD. 2020. Estimation of standard heterosis over environments for fruit yield and its attributes in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Chemical Studies 8: 2542-2547.
- Rajani A, Naidu LN, Reddy RVSK, Kumari NR, Srikanth D and Babu DR. 2021. Studies on heterosis for growth and yield attributing characters in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). The Pharma Innovation Journal **10**: 1380-1387.
- Schippers RR. 2000. African indigenous vegetable: an overview of the Cultivated Species. Chaltham U.K. National Resources Institute A.C.D.E.U. Technical Centre for Agriculture and rural Crop, pp 105-117.
- Shaikh MD. Soyab Akhil MD. AB. Mazid. 2014. Line \times tester analysis of combining ability in okra

(*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Ph D Thesis pp 301-308. Department of Botany, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India.

- Singh RK and Chaudhary BD. 1977. Line × tester analysis. In: Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, pp 191-200.
- Singh SK. 2021. Heterosis and combining ability of okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench] through partial diallel analysis. Ph.D. thesis, pp 112-116. Department of Horticulture, Post Graduate College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India.
- Solankey SS, Singh AK and Singh RK. 2013. Genetic expression of heterosis for yield and quality traits during different growing seasons in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 83: 815-819.
- Sood S, Gupta N and Sharma D. 2016. Determining relationships among fruit yield and yield components using path coefficient analysis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research 42: 143-149.
- Vani. 2015. Genetic architecture of yield and its associated traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] using diallel analysis. Ph D Thesis p 134-138. Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varansai, India.
- Vekariya RD, Patel AI, Modha KG and Mali SC. 2019. Study of heterosis over environments for fruit yield and its related traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Chemical Studies 7: 484-490.